

Reviewer Request Form

As part of the double-blind peer-review process, reviewers will receive an email with a link to a form similar to the one shown here.

Article submission type will be listed here

Original Research Papers

Thank you for agreeing to review the attached Original Research Article, under consideration for publication in the Journal of Innovation in Polytechnic Education.

Original Research Papers: 3500-5000 words

Original research papers report on original empirical research, i.e., primary source. These papers report the methods and results of an original research study performed by the author(s). They can include methods and results concluded from analyzing raw data and conclusions drawn from the results of the analysis or focus on teaching and learning, research and innovation, and insights and observations resulting from participation in a research project or case study. We are especially interested in articles that inquire into driving improvement to student-centred academic programming and students' learning outcomes. Papers may take a qualitative or quantitative approach (or a combination) – authors must clearly identify their approach.

Info will update according to manuscript submission type

Please complete the following form, providing specific and constructive feedback and suggestions.

Manuscript Title *

Fill out the manuscript title here

Principal strengths of the paper: (e.g., quality of research design; significance - theoretical or practical - of the findings; quality of contribution)

*

Fill out the principal strengths here

Principal weaknesses that are correctable: (e.g., organization of the paper; missing details; arguments requiring further development; insufficient coverage of the literature; alternative arguments to be considered; statistical analysis; length of article)

*

Fill out the principal correctable weaknesses here

Principal weaknesses that are not correctable: (e.g., fundamental issues with the methodology; inappropriate content for the article type or journal)

*

Fill out the incorrectable principal weaknesses here

Minor points: (e.g., missing references; errors; awkward sentences; suggested re-phrasing; re-organization suggestions; typos; etc.)

Please refer to page and line numbers in the manuscript, where possible. *

Fill out minor points here

Recommendation: *

- Accept, with no revisions - if the manuscript is suitable for publication as is (with appropriate copy-editing and/or formatting processes to follow)
- Revise and re-submit, with minor revisions - if the manuscript has minor changes required, such as the addition of minor details, a figure, etc.
- Revise and re-submit, with major revisions - if the contribution of the manuscript is likely to be sufficient, but it requires substantial changes, such as major re-organization of the text, revised or expanded statistical analysis, the addition of a new section, etc.)
- Reject - if the manuscript has fundamental weaknesses that cannot be addressed through major revisions and/or the paper is not appropriate for this journal and its mandate

Confidential remarks to editor: (optional)

If you have any feedback that you would like to share with the editor, but would not like the author of the manuscript to see, please enter your comments here.

Confidential remarks to editor here